

Lab 3 — First-Order Theories

Ondřej Lengál

Faculty of Information Technology
Brno University of Technology

IAM'19

Transformation to PNF

- PNF formula is of the form

$$\varphi = \underbrace{Q_1 x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot Q_n x_n}_{\text{prefix}} \cdot \underbrace{\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)}_{\text{matrix}}$$

where $Q_i \in \{\forall, \exists\}$ and ψ is QF; $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ are the free vars of φ

Transformation to **PNF**:

- 1 remove useless quantifiers
- 2 substitute \leftrightarrow with equivalent formulae: $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi \Leftrightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$
- 3 rename variables (we need to avoid binding previously free variables when moving quantifiers to the left)
- 4 move negation inside
- 5 move quantifiers to the left

Equivalences for FOL

$$\forall x. \neg \varphi \Leftrightarrow \neg \exists x. \varphi$$

$$\exists x. \neg \varphi \Leftrightarrow \neg \forall x. \varphi$$

$$(\forall x. \varphi(x)) \wedge (\forall y. \psi(y)) \Leftrightarrow \forall x. \varphi(x) \wedge \psi(x) \quad \text{if } x \notin \text{free}(\psi)$$

$$(\exists x. \varphi(x)) \vee (\exists y. \psi(y)) \Leftrightarrow \exists x. \varphi(x) \vee \psi(x) \quad \text{if } x \notin \text{free}(\psi)$$

$$\forall x. \varphi \vee \psi \Leftrightarrow (\forall x. \varphi) \vee \psi \quad \text{if } x \notin \text{free}(\psi)$$

$$\exists x. \varphi \wedge \psi \Leftrightarrow (\exists x. \varphi) \wedge \psi \quad \text{if } x \notin \text{free}(\psi)$$

(proof rules)

■ negation:

$$\frac{I \models \neg \varphi}{I \not\models \varphi}$$

$$\frac{I \not\models \neg \varphi}{I \models \varphi}$$

■ conjunction:

$$\frac{I \models \varphi \wedge \psi}{\begin{array}{l} I \models \varphi \\ I \models \psi \end{array}}$$

$$\frac{I \not\models \varphi \wedge \psi}{\begin{array}{c|c} I \not\models \varphi & I \not\models \psi \end{array}}$$

(‘|’ forks computation in two branches that both need to be proved)

■ disjunction:

$$\frac{I \models \varphi \vee \psi}{\begin{array}{c|c} I \models \varphi & I \models \psi \end{array}}$$

$$\frac{I \not\models \varphi \vee \psi}{\begin{array}{l} I \not\models \varphi \\ I \not\models \psi \end{array}}$$

(proof rules)

■ implication:

$$\frac{I \models \varphi \rightarrow \psi}{I \not\models \varphi \quad | \quad I \models \psi}$$

$$\frac{I \not\models \varphi \rightarrow \psi}{I \models \varphi \quad | \quad I \not\models \psi}$$

■ iff:

$$\frac{I \models \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi}{I \models \varphi \wedge \psi \quad | \quad I \not\models \varphi \vee \psi}$$

$$\frac{I \not\models \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi}{I \models \varphi \wedge \neg \psi \quad | \quad I \models \neg \varphi \wedge \psi}$$

■ contradiction:

$$\frac{I \models \varphi \quad I \not\models \varphi}{I \models \perp}$$

Semantic Argument for FOL

To decide validity of FOL formulae, we extend the **semantic argument** method from PL using the following proof rules:

■ **universal quantification 1**:
$$\frac{I \models \forall x . \varphi}{I \triangleleft \{x \mapsto v\} \models \varphi} \quad \text{for any } v \in D_I$$

■ **existential quantification 1**:
$$\frac{I \not\models \exists x . \varphi}{I \triangleleft \{x \mapsto v\} \not\models \varphi} \quad \text{for any } v \in D_I$$

In practice, we often choose v that was already introduced earlier.

■ **universal quantification 2**:
$$\frac{I \not\models \forall x . \varphi}{I \triangleleft \{x \mapsto v\} \not\models \varphi} \quad \text{for a *fresh* } v \in D_I$$

■ **existential quantification 2**:
$$\frac{I \models \exists x . \varphi}{I \triangleleft \{x \mapsto v\} \models \varphi} \quad \text{for a *fresh* } v \in D_I$$

The value v cannot have been used in the proof before.

The values v are not interpreted; they are **symbolic names**.

Semantic Argument for FOL

■ contradiction:

$$\frac{J : I \triangleleft \dots \models p(s_1, \dots, s_n) \\ K : I \triangleleft \dots \not\models p(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{I \models \perp}$$

$$\text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n : \alpha_J[s_i] = \alpha_K[t_i]$$

Theory of Equality \mathcal{T}_E

Theory of Equality \mathcal{T}_E (with Uninterpreted Functions):

- **Signature:** $\{=, f, g, h, \dots, p, q, r, \dots\}$

► equality ($=$)/2 and all function and predicate symbols

- **Axioms:**

1 $\forall x. x = x$ (reflexivity)

2 $\forall x, y. x = y \rightarrow y = x$ (symmetry)

3 $\forall x, y, z. x = y \wedge y = z \rightarrow x = z$ (transitivity)

- 4 for every positive integer n and n -ary function symbol f ,

$$\forall \bar{x}, \bar{y}. \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i = y_i \right) \rightarrow f(\bar{x}) = f(\bar{y}) \quad (\text{function congruence})$$

- 5 for every positive integer n and n -ary predicate symbol p ,

$$\forall \bar{x}, \bar{y}. \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i = y_i \right) \rightarrow (p(\bar{x}) \leftrightarrow p(\bar{y})) \quad (\text{predicate congruence})$$

\bar{x} denotes a list of variables x_1, \dots, x_n

- Note that only the ($=$) predicate symbol is interpreted.
- Note that [4] and [5] are *axiom schemata*.

Peano Arithmetic \mathcal{T}_{PA}

(first-order arithmetic):

■ **Signature:** $\{0, S, +, \cdot, =\}$

- ▶ $0/0$ is a constant (nullary functions)
- ▶ $S/1$ is a unary function symbol (called *successor*)
- ▶ $(+)/2$ and $(\cdot)/2$ are binary function symbols
- ▶ equality $(=)/2$ is a binary predicate symbol

■ **Axioms:**

- 1 $\forall x. \neg(S(x) = 0)$ (zero)
- 2 $\forall x, y. S(x) = S(y) \rightarrow x = y$ (successor)
- 3 for every $\Sigma_{\mathcal{T}_{PA}}$ -formula φ with precisely one free variable,

$$(\varphi(0) \wedge (\forall x. \varphi(x) \rightarrow \varphi(S(x)))) \rightarrow \forall x. \varphi(x) \quad (\text{induction})$$

- 4 $\forall x. x + 0 = x$ (plus zero)
- 5 $\forall x, y. x + S(y) = S(x + y)$ (plus successor)
- 6 $\forall x. x \cdot 0 = 0$ (times zero)
- 7 $\forall x, y. x \cdot S(y) = x \cdot y + x$ (times successor)